# Status of the form barabensis within the 'Larus argentatuscachinnans-fuscus complex'

Evgeniy N. Panov and Dmitriy G. Monzikov



ABSTRACT Between 19th July and 1st August 1997, at the Chany Lakes in southwestern Siberia, data were collected on phenotype features and behaviour of the local populations of gulls *Larus* belonging to the taxonomically vague form *barabensis*. These were compared with data from the literature and museum material on the morphological, oological and behavioural characters of *L. c. cachinnans* and *L. (fuscus?) heuglini*, as well as those of some other related gull forms. In size, proportions and coloration, *barabensis* most closely resembles *heuglini*, while also showing

some admixture of *cachinnans* characters. The authors conclude that *barabensis* is a well-defined taxon that has arisen as a result of introgression by *cachinnans* genes into *beuglini* populations as the latter expanded their range southwards. They suggest that *barabensis* be treated as a subspecies of *L. beuglini* unless the latter's status as specifically distinct from Lesser Black-backed Gull *L. fuscus* is proven to be unwarranted.

the various forms of large gull Larus that breed in Europe and, particularly, Asia have for some time been a source of much confusion among taxonomists. Traditionally, they have been treated as subspecies of the Herring Gull L. argentatus or the Lesser Black-backed Gull L. fuscus, although the populations breeding in south Europe and southwest Asia have often been regarded as representing a third species, the Yellow-legged Gull L. cachinnans (see e.g. Garner & Quinn 1997). As a group, all these populations are generally referred to as the 'Larus argentatus-cachinnans-fuscus complex'. For the sake of clarity, the different populations are here referred to by their scientific names.

The large white-headed gulls inhabiting lakes of the Ishim, Barabinsk and Kulunda steppes, between 52° and 58°N in the Omsk region of southwest Siberia (fig. 1), are among the least well-studied Palearctic representatives of this complex. This is manifested, in particular, by the wide variation in opinion regarding their systematic position. They have been placed by various authors in different species, either Herring Gull or Yellow-legged Gull, being regarded either as a local population within a particular subspecies (namely, 'L. argentatus taimyrensis') or as another, separate subspecies (L. cachinnans barabensis) (Dement'ev 1951; Johansen 1960). A further view is that these gulls are closely related to the northern form beuglini (Filchagov 1993), apparently of European origin, which, in turn, is regarded either as an independent species, commonly referred to as 'Siberian Gull' or 'Heuglin's Gull' (Stepanyan 1990), or as a subspecies of Lesser Black-backed Gull (Cramp & Simmons 1983). The probable genealogical relationship between barabensis and the Armenian Gull L. (cachinnans?) armenicus has also been discussed (e.g. Filchagov 1993). In recent reviews of the Russian avifauna, *barabensis* is not accepted as a valid race, on the grounds that it is not sufficiently distinct from such taxa as *taimyrensis* or nominate *cachinnans* (Stepanyan 1975; Yudin & Firsova 1988).

Significantly, all these discussions have taken place in the almost complete absence of reliable data on the morphological features, field characters and natural history of the gulls in question (Garner & Quinn 1997). The aim of the present study is to fill, at least partly, the gap in our knowledge of these 'enigmatic' birds.

The range of barabensis extends westwards to, probably, the eastern foothills of the southern Urals, some 600 km west of the well-documented breeding colonies on Tenis Lake (locality 2 in fig. 1); in addition, a few barabensis-type gulls (which cannot be distinguished with certainty from *beuglini* types) occur farther west, at the Volga-Kama confluence and in Nizhniy Novgorod region (5 & 6 in fig. 1). This gull very probably breeds also in the Kulunda steppe (locality 3 in fig. 1). In northeast Kazakhstan, individuals with cachinnans-type features but with wingtip pattern approaching that of barabensis are rather common, and may be hybrids between those two forms.

From 19th July to 1st August 1997, we conducted field studies in two localities about 80 km apart within the breeding range of *barabensis*. On the Malye Chany Lake (54°40'N, 78°E), we captured gulls, and made tape recordings in a non-breeding flock consisting of about 20-30 individuals. On the Bol'shie Chany Lake (54°40'N, 77°E), similar studies were carried out in a nesting colony on Uzkoredkiy Island during the period when most of the chicks had already left the nest. Altogether, 12 adults (six males and six females) were captured, and from these, as well as from ten chicks, blood samples were



Fig. 1. Breeding areas of different populations of the '*Larus argentatus-cachinnans-fuscus* complex'. Note areas of hybridisation. Western populations of *taimyrensis* appear almost indistinguishable from *heuglini* and eastern ones from *vegae*.

obtained for subsequent genetic analysis. We compared the characteristics of these gulls with those of the few (12) others held in the collection of the Museum of Zoology (Moscow State University), as well as with series of *cachinnans* specimens from the Caspian Sea, and of *heuglini* and *taimyrensis*.

# General features of breeding biology

On the lakes of the Barabinsk lowlands (up to 105 m above sea level), the gulls breed in at least two different habitats. Colonies are most often situated at shallow lake margins, bounded on the shore side by a broad belt of very dense, almost impenetrable reedbeds. Nests are placed either on a layer of dead, flattened reeds or on a carpet of jetsam carried ashore by the action of the waves; they are sometimes built on the abandoned lodge of a Muskrat *Ondatra zibethicus*, but never more than 3-5 m from open water that is 0.5-1.5 m deep. The nest itself is a bulky structure, 30-120 cm across and up to 30 cm in height, constructed of dead reed stalks and leaves. According to Khodkov (1981), the largest colonies are found mainly in this habitat type. They comprise up to 200 pairs and occupy an area of up to 10 ha, with minimum distance between nests averaging 8.8 m (range 3-25 m), although most reedbed colonies are rather small, consisting of 10-40 breeding pairs. Some nests are apparently used for many years (Khodkov 1981).

A second habitat type consists of small, dry islands partly covered with woods of tall, mature birch *Betula*. There, the gulls use level and open sandy-clay areas on the central, highest part of the island, as well as low earth bluffs along its shores, nesting among low, generally sparse grassy vegetation. Nests in such habitat are usually far less bulky than those in reedbeds; not infrequently, the nest looks simply like a shallow depression in the ground, carelessly lined with a thin layer of dry grass. In contrast to reedbed colonies, the nests on small islands are widely dispersed, being separated by distances of about 20 m and more. It was in

Table 1. Mean size (in mm) of *barabensis* eggs compared with corresponding data on some other representatives of the '*Larus argentatus-cachinnans-fuscus* complex'. Figures show means with (in parentheses) S.D. and range where available.

TAXON/ POPULATION	LOCALITY (	MPLE (NO.)	LENGTH	BREADTH	SOURCE
armenicus	Transcaucasia (Sevan Lake)	113	68.1 (2.3;61.5-74.9)	48.1 (1.4;45.1-51.0)	Filchagov 1993
armenicus	Transcaucasia (Sevan Lake)	153	68.3 (2.9; 59.4-75.9)	48.7 (1.6; 42.5-52.0)	Buzun 1993
cachinnans	Black Sea	185	72.8 (63.3-80.5)	50.4 (44.6-58.5)	Kostin 1983
cachinnans	EAzov region	311	70.9 (63.0-79.6)	50.4 (46.0-53.5)	Kazakov & Yazykova 1982
cachinnans	Volga delta	66	71.2 (65.7-81.5)	49.6 (44.4-52.8)	Lugovoy 1958
cachinnans	SW Caspian Sea	56	72.0 (61.0-79.0)	49.0 (40.0-52.0)	Dyunin 1948
cachinnans	SE Caspian Sea	126	70.1 (2.9;63.0-78.2)	48.6 (1.6; 42.1-52.3)	Panov <i>et al</i> . 1990
cachinnans	N Turkmenistan (Lake Sarykamysh)	70	71.8 (2.7;65.6-77.6)	50.0 (1.7;47.4-53.2)	Filchagov 1993
cachinnans	Aral Sea	705	71.0 (64.0-84.0)	50.0 (44.0-55.0)	Ismagilov 1955
cachinnans	NE Kazakhstan	926	71.3 (3.2;60.9-81.9)	49.7 (1.6; 40.6-60.0)	Zykova & Panov unpubl.
cachinnans/ barabensis (?)	N Kazakhstan (Zharkol' Lake)	47	72.8 (67.0-82.0)	50.3 (45.0-57.0)	Samorodov 1970
barabensis	SW Siberia (Saltaim Lake)	43	70.8 (2.5;66.2-76.1)	48.9 (1.3;46.4-51.9)	Filchagov 1993
barabensis	SW Siberia (Chany Lakes)	42	70.1 (1.8;66.0-73.7)	48.1 (1.5;44.5-51.3)	Khodkov 1981
beuglini	Barents Sea (Kanin Pen.)	91	70.0 (3.0;63.0-76.8)	48.2 (1.6;45.0-56.3)	Filchagov 1993
beuglini	Gulf of Ob'	44	69.0 (2.2;65.1-76.3)	49.1 (1.3;45.6-51.6)	Filchagov 1993
beuglini	NW Siberia (Yamal Pen.)	21	70.2 (64.4-75.2)	48.0 (46.1-49.8)	Danilov <i>et al.</i> 1984
taimyrensis	N Siberia (W Taimyr Pen.)	30	71.7 (2.7;66.0-76.5)	50.8 (1.3;48.5-53.5)	Filchagov <i>et al.</i> 1992
taimyrensis	N Siberia (E Taimyr Pen.)	46	73.4 (3.5;66.8-82.0)	50.5 (1.2;47.8-52.3)	Filchagov <i>et al.</i> 1992
vegae	E Siberia (Chukotka)	30	73.8 (2.8;68.1-77.5)		Filchagov 1993

such a colony that we carried out our study on Uzkoredkiy Island.

The spring arrival of gulls in the Barabinsk lowlands begins in mid April, continuing up to mid June, and the main hatching period apparently commences in the last third of May. Nests inspected by Khodkov (1981) on 7th May held eggs containing embryos about half the size of a newly hatched chick; on 10th-12th June, chicks three to 18 days old were present in a colony. In 1997, on Uzkoredkiy Island, despite the very early and warm spring, the majority of young were still not able to fly in late June, and in a few nests we found newly hatched chicks.

Being in general a late breeder, barabensis thus differs sharply from cachinnans. In a population of the latter inhabiting Tengiz Lake in northeast Kazakhstan, the locality closest to (about 1,000 km south of) the breeding range of barabensis, the first few chicks in 1978 appeared on 8th and 9th May, while the start of mass hatching was observed on 14th May. It would thus appear that the whole breeding process of barabensis takes place almost a month later than that of the most northerly cachinnans populations. For comparison, the earliest breeding dates for the northern form beuglini are indicated by the following: a nest on the Yamal Peninsula tundra, examined on 27th June, held three eggs close to hatching; and, on the Kola Peninsula, the first newly hatched chicks were observed on 29th June (Danilov et al. 1984; Filchagov & Semashko 1987).

Table 1 compares egg sizes of two populations of barabensis with those of other gull populations of the Black Sea-Caspian Sea region and Siberia. There appears to be a tendency towards a decrease in egg size from southwest to northeast, from the breeding range of cachinnans to that of barabensis. In both barabensis populations, egg width differs highly significantly<sup>1</sup> from that of the nearest cachinnans breeders, at Tengiz Lake. The same applies to differences in length between eggs from the latter locality and those of the Chany Lakes barabensis, while egg-length difference between cachinnans and the population of barabensis from Saltaim Lake (56°N, 72°E) is not significant. The eggs of both populations of *barabensis* are significantly longer<sup>2</sup> than those of *heuglini* from the Gulf of Ob', while those of Chany Lakes barabensis are also significantly narrower<sup>3</sup>, but egg-width difference between *heuglini* (Gulf of Ob') and barabensis (Saltaim Lake) is not significant.

In summary, the egg size of *barabensis* seems to be rather close to that of *heuglini* populations from both the Kola Peninsula and the Yamal Peninsula. Farther east, throughout the breeding range of *taimyrensis*, increase in egg size is gradual, apparently a result of the influx of *vegae* 

 $^{3}$  P = 0.01.

genes from eastern Siberia. The whole picture of geographical variation accords well with data on clinal variation in body size among gulls of the taxa chain *cachinnans-barabensis-beuglini-taimyrensisvegae* (see below).

# Morphometric features of barabensis populations

As can be seen from table 2, barabensis is characterised by its relatively small size. In almost all measurements it tends to be smaller than gulls from the breeding range of nominate cachinnans (especially those cachinnans of the Black Sea region). At the same time, barabensis seems to be slightly larger than *armenicus*, although the latter has, on average, a relatively longer wing. In general, it can be said that, in terms of size and proportions, barabensis is intermediate between cachinnans of the Caspian region and adjacent eastern areas and more northerly *beuglini*; our supposition is that barabensis is the product of secondary intergradation between those two taxa. Supplementary arguments to support this hypothesis are presented below.

We believe the apparent similarity in biometrics between barabensis and taimyrensis to be the result of two independent processes, namely the interbreeding of beuglini with two of its neighbours whose breeding ranges are situated to, respectively, the south (cachinnans) and the east (vegae) of the range of *beuglini*. Bearing in mind the considerable similarity between cachinnans and vegae in size, proportions and coloration, it is not surprising that, in both cases, hybrid populations with similar characters have arisen: barabensis to the south of the range of *beuglini* and *taimyrensis* to the east (see also Discussion).

Garner & Quinn (1997) suggested that barabensis is characterised by its 'noticeably small (sometimes very thin) bill'. Our data show, however, that the bill of barabensis is not, on average, shorter than that of *taimyrensis*, which is usually regarded as a large-billed gull. Moreover, the two taxa are similar in bill depth, although the gonydeal angle of barabensis is, possibly, less prominent than on *taimyrensis* and *cachinnans*. Compared with *cachinnans*, the bill of *barabensis* is, in general, shorter and nar-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P<0.001. <sup>3</sup> P =

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> P<0.01; P<0.05.

Table 2. Some complex'. Figu Danilov <i>et al</i> . (	morphological variable: res show means with (ir 1984), Buzun (1993), Cr	s (in mm and g) of <i>barabe</i> 1 parentheses) S.D., range amp & Simmons (1983) ar	<i>vasis</i> in comparison with t and sample where availabl nd Dolgushin (1962). Note	hose of other representative le. Sources: own measuremer : that all data for <i>armenicus</i>	s of the ' <i>Larus argentatus</i> its; Mierauskas <i>et al.</i> (1991 are from Buzun (1993).	-cacbinnans-fuscus ), Dement'ev (1951),
	cachinnans (Black Sea)	<i>cachinnans</i> (Caspian region)	barabensis	beuglini	taimyrensis	armenicus
Males						
Wing	464.6 (1.6; 35)		437.9 (10.2; 428-445; 8)	$\begin{array}{c} 450.0 \\ (435-465; 28) \\ 443 \\ (432-457; 4) \end{array}$	457.0	439.8 (12.5; 411-458; 18)
Bill length (culmen chore	62.1 d) (0.4, 34)	60.0 (3.2; 53.9-63.4; 6)	58.1 (2.2; 55.3-61.2; 7)	54.7 (3.0; 49.2-58.8; 15)	55.3 (3.1; 51.9-59.2; 4)	52.3 (2.3; 48.1-56.5; 18)
Bill length (from nostril)	25.2 (21.9-28.2; 4)	24.6 (3.0; 21.3-28.8; 6)	25.3 (1.9; 23.2-28.7; 7)	24.8 (2.0; 20.7-27.3; 15)	25.5 (1.8; 23.7-27.9; 4)	22.9 (1.2; 21.2-25.4; 18)
Bill depth (at gonys)	19.5 (0.2; 35)	18.9 (0.7; 17.8-20.0; 6)	18.4 (0.7; 17.6-18.8; 7)	18.4 (0.9; 17.0-20.0; 15)	19.2 (1.1; 18.4-20.8; 4)	18.6 (1.0; 16.8-20.1; 18)
Bill depth (at nostril)	18.0 (15.6-19.7; 4)	17.5 (0.9; 16.5-18.8; 5)	17.5 (0.4; 16.8-17.9; 7)	$\frac{17.4}{(0.8; 15.8 \cdot 18.4; 14)}$	17.5 (1.5; 16.0-19.5; 4)	17.2 (0.8; 15.5-18.3; 18)
Bill width	10.9 (8.9-11.8; 4)	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8 \\ (0.4; 10.1\text{-}11.3; 6) \end{array}$	10.9 (0.4; 10.5-11.5; 7)		10.6 (0.5; 9.9-11.2; 4)	
Combined head+bill leng	133.6 tth (0.5; 35)		126.7 (3.0; 122.0-129.5; 6)			121.0 (2.6; 115.6-125.9; 18)
Sternum keel	86.2 (0.5; 35)		83.9 (3.9; 73.6-79.7; 6)			80.2 (2.6; 76.8-85.1; 17)
Tarsus	72.3 (0.4; 35)	70.2 (3.0; 65.4-73.7; 6)	67.3 (2.6; 63.6-70.5; 7)	66.4 (2.7; 61.2-71.2; 15)	67.0 (3.4; 65.0-72.4; 4)	68.7 (2.3; 64.3-73.7; 18)
Weight (g)	1317 (160.7; 1200-1500; 3)	835 (750-1193; 27)	1012 (97.9; 900-1070; 6)	1124 (113.0; 1015-1300; 5)	1260, 1300 (2)	857 (66.7; 785-960; 9)
				1179 (990-1350; 4)		

lable 2. cont.						
	<i>cachinnans</i> (Black Sea)	<i>cachinnans</i> (Caspian region)	barabensis	beuglini	taimyrensis	armenicus
Females						
Wing	440.8 (1.0;71)		$\frac{417.9}{(10.2; 404.430; 7)}$	434.5 (410-455;20)	453.0) (32.8; 432-470; 3)	419.1 (400-436; 23)
Bill length (culmen chord)	56.3 (0.3;71)	56.4 (4.2; 49.9-63.1; 6)	51.4 (1.9; 49.7-54.1; 11)	51.4 (3.7; 47.6-57.4; 6)	50.6 (2.2; 47.8-57.4; 8)	46.3 (2.1; 41.3-50.6; 22)
Bill length (from nostril)		23.7 (1.7; 20.9-26.1; 6)	23.5 (1.6; 21.7-26.5; 11)	24.4 (1.6; 21.8-26.2; 6)	24.3 (0.6; 23.7-25.2; 8)	20.7 (0.8; 19.1-22.6; 22)
Bill depth (at gonys)	17.2 (0.1; 71)	17.4 (1.3; 16.5-20.0; 6)	16.2 (0.9; 15.4-18.6; 11)	17.3 (1.0; 16.5-19.2; 6)	17.7 (0.7; 16.7-18.8; 8)	16.5 (0.51; 15.5-17.4; 22)
Bill depth (at nostril)		16.1 (1.0; 15.1-17.9; 6)	15.2 (0.7; 14.3-17.2; 11)	15.9 (1.2; 15.0-18.2; 6)	16.3 (0.9; 15.4-17.3; 8)	15.3 (0.7; 14.0-16.5; 21)
Bill width		9.8 (1.0; 8.4-11.3; 6)	9.7 (0.3;8.7-10.6;11)		10.3 (0.5; 9.6-11.2; 8)	
Combined head+bill length	122.9 (0.3;71)		115.5 (2.3;113.4-118.9;6)			111.5 (3.0; 105.8-119.6; 22)
Sternum keel	80.4 (0.4; 71)		76.9 (2.3;73.6-79.7; 6)			74.8 (3.1;64:9-81.0;23)
Tarsus	66.9 (0.3;71)	65.6 (4.1;62.3-72.5;5)	61.3 (4.9; 58.2-65.6; 11)	64.6 (3.4; 60.5-69.8; 6)	62.8 (3.0; 59.7-67.6; 8)	64.1 (2.6;58.4-68.0;22)
Weight (g)		772 (700-1050; 16)	824.8 (100.1; 680-950; 9)	983 (924-1050; 7)	983 (121.0; 890-1120; 3)	708 (55.7; 605-775; 17)



Fig. 2. Variations in bill shape and coloration and eye colour of *barabensis* gulls inhabiting the Chany Lakes.

Left six (a-f) males, right six (g-l) females. Slight admixture of brown tones in individuals b (also on rectrices), c, d, e, g and h. Dark pigmentation occupies about 80% of iris in individual a, about 50% in b and e, and 1-5% in f, h, j and l; in all others, iris completely yellow. (Individuals f, i and j also had wingtip pattern partly similar to that of typical *cachimans*; on others, pattern closer to that of *beuglini*.)

rower, but it does not look disproportionately small when the compact appearance of the bird itself is taken into account. In the field, *barabensis* should definitely appear longer-billed than *armenicus*, since bill depth is practically the same in both taxa. It should also be emphasised that the size and shape of the bill of *barabensis* are quite variable; as fig. 2 shows, relatively small-billed (of the *beuglini* type) and large-billed (of the *cachinnans* type) individuals occur among both males and females.

#### Plumage coloration

Just as with body size and proportions, *barabensis* is intermediate between *cachinnans* and *heuglini* in all colour characteristics, being closer to the latter. The mantle is slate-grey, obviously lighter than on *beuglini*,

but tends to be a shade darker than on many birds from the breeding range of *taimyrensis*. Typically, it has a brownish tinge that is normally absent on *taimyrensis*, the upperparts of which appear bluish-grey. In contrast to *taimyrensis*, on which the tone of the mantle is quite variable, *barabensis* has a rather constant mantle colour, although lighter-mantled individuals sometimes occur (such birds usually have certain other colour characteristics which give them a *cachinnans*-like appearance).

It is apparent from fig. 3 that mean values of almost all features of the wingtip pattern of barabensis are also intermediate between those of cachinnans and those of beuglini. Within populations, we determined the proportions of individuals showing various intensities of black pigmentation on the primaries. This was assessed in terms of (1) the number of primaries bearing subterminal black markings; (2) the presence or absence of a white spot on the tip of the 9th primary (P9); (3) the maximum length of such a spot on P10 (and, if present, on P9); and (4) the size of the gap between the distal end of the grey wedge on P10 and P9 and the tip of the respective feather. In the last two characters, barabensis, being intermediate between cachinnans and heuglini and closer to the latter, does not differ, on average, from taimyrensis; significantly, however, in characters (1) and (2) it seems more closely to resemble *beuglini* than it does *taimyrensis*. The typical wingtip patterns of *barabensis* and of all other taxa under consideration are presented in fig. 4 (on page 237).

We could not ascertain any features that permit distinction between downy young of barabensis and those of other members of the 'L. argentatus-cachinnans-fuscus complex'. On the few juvenile barabensis that we examined, the pale tertial fringes were not thin and of uniform width as is characteristic of nominate cachinnans, but were broad and extensively scalloped, in this respect matching those of typical *beuglini*. Examination of specimens in the Museum of Zoology (Moscow State University) showed that the latter type of tertial pattern occurs on many juvenile gulls from northeastern Kazakhstan (in particular, from the Semipalatinsk region).

Fig. 3. Comparison of some wingtip patterns characteristic of *barabensis* (bar) with those of *cachinnans* (ca), *beuglini* (heu), *taimyrensis* (tai) and *armenicus* (arm). (Data on *armenicus* from Buzun 1993, where some values, indicated by asterisk, are lacking.)

a: proportions of birds with black markings on P10-P5 (open bar), P10-P4 (shaded), P10-P3 (black).

b: proportions with white spots on tip of bothP10 and P9 (open bar) and only on P10 (black).c: max. length of white subterminal spot on P10.d: max. length of white subterminal spot on P9.e: distance between distal end of grey wedge onP10 and tip of feather.

f: distance between distal end of grey wedge on P9 and tip of feather.

(For c-f, means, S.D. and ranges are shown; for *armenicus*, range values for c and e lacking.)

### Bare-part colours

All 12 individuals of *barabensis* examined in the hand had a pale yellow iris. Five of these had no dark pigmentation, while four others had small dark brown speckles occupying 1-5% of the iris area; dark speckles covered about 50% of the iris on two individuals and 80% on one, so that their eyes looked dark (fig. 2). The colour of the eye-ring varied from orange with a yellow tint to orangetinged red, being orange-red in most cases.

The legs and feet of all 12 were deep yellow, on some very brightly coloured. The feet of one had an orange tint.

The bill is bright yellow, with a reddishorange gonydeal angle. Only two of the 12 individuals examined showed small blackish markings near the tip of the upper mandible, and on one the marking was reddish. These findings differ dramatically from observations made some 360 km northwest of our study area, on Saltaim Lake: there, dark markings of varying size were present on the bill (mostly the upper mandible) of 18 out of 29 adult *barabensis* examined in the hand during the breeding season (Filchagov 1993).

### Some features of behaviour

By the beginning of our study on Uzkoredkiy Island, most gulls had already left the nesting colony and were on the water close to the shore. Groups comprising one or two adults together with up to three juveniles, and thus perhaps intact family parties, were seen only rarely at that time. The majority of juveniles



![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

132 - 136. Gulls of the form *Larus beuglini barabensis*, Russia, July 1997. All by *E. N. Panov*  were gathered in loose aggregations of varying composition and containing from a few to about 15 individuals. These groups ('crèches') were very attractive to adult gulls, with a continual interchange of birds in the vicinity of such sites. Many adults, competing for the role of chick guardians, were involved in incessant antagonistic interactions, such as those previously described for *cachinnans* at Tengiz Lake (Panov & Zykova 1981). Frequent conflicts were invariably accompanied by numerous Long-call displays. In the overwhelming majority of cases, the motor components of the displays were a quick lowering of the head down to the water surface, followed by stretching of the neck upwards and forwards at an angle of about 20-30°. This, the so-called *'argentatus*like' version of the Long-call display, is the one most frequently observed in most populations belonging to the *'L. argentatus*-

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Wingtip patterns most characteristic of *cachinnans* (a = lightest variant, b = darkest), *barabensis* (c), *beuglini* (d), *taimyrensis* (e) and *vegae* (f).

*cachinnans-fuscus* complex'. Another version, hitherto described only for nominate *cachinnans*, was, however, observed on three occasions: the characteristic component of this version is the vertical raising of the fully extended wings during the second phase of the performance (Panov *et al.* 1991).

It is important to note that the acoustic component of the Long-call display varies conspicuously among the Chany Lakes populations of *barabensis*. There are two obviously different types of call, and a continuum of variants intermediate between them. One of these types closely resembles that characteristic of nominate *cachinnans* (compare figs. 5e and 5f), while another has a *'fuscus*- like' structure (fig. 5d) and may, therefore, prove to be the typical constituent of *heuglini* signal behaviour.

#### Discussion

The results of this study clearly suggest that in practically all morphological features, including size, proportions and coloration, *barabensis* exhibits the greatest degree of resemblance to *beuglini*, while at the same time showing a slight admixture of *cachinnans* characters. This forces us to conclude that *barabensis* has arisen as a result of introgression of *cachinnans* genes into *beuglini* populations which have expanded southwards from their original breeding range. Preliminary behavioural data also

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

Fig. 5. Some acoustic signals of *barabensis* (a-e) and *cachinnans* (f). a: common call, used also to signal alarm; b: alarm call of 'staccato' type; c: different variants of Mew-call; d: *fuscus*-like' variant of Long-call; e: *cachinnans*-like' variant of Long-call starting with sound of Mew-call type; f: typical Long-call of nominate *cachinnans* (southeast Caspian).

Table 3. Characteristics of wingtip pattern of *barabensis* compared with some other representatives of the 'Larus argentatus-cachinnans-fuscus complex'. Data for both

			% (no.) with ł markings o primaries	olack n	% (no.) white sf prim	) with pots on aries	whi	(no.) wi	th 1 P9	Length in range) of 1 on pri	mm (S.D.; white spot maries	Distance in range) betw end of grey <sup>1</sup> tip of pi	mm (S.D; reen distal wedge and imary
Population	Sample	P10 -P5	P10 -P4	P10 -P3	P10 & P9	P10 only	both webs	outer web	inner web	P10	6d	P10	6d
<i>cachinnans</i> Caspian													
egion)	16	62.5 (10)	31.3 (5)	6.2 (1)	100.0 (16)	0.0	93.8 (15)	0.0	6.2 (1)	60 (3.0; 40-75)	34 (9.3; 12-50)	92 (21.9; 70-125)	80 (13.9 60-115)
oarabensis	18	22.2	38.9	38.9	44.4	55.6	62.5	12.5	25.0	33 (10.1;	15 (3.4;	154 (24.7;	116 (18.3
		(4)	6	6	(8)	(10)	3	( <del>]</del>	(2)	17-54)	11-19)	100-180)	82-140)
beuglini	26	0.8	53.8	42.3	34.6	65.4	44.4	11.2	44.4	30 (11.5;	12 (7.6;	172 (24.8;	126 (15.5
		9	(14)	(11)	6	(17)	(4)	(1)	(4)	15-55)	3-20)	95-215)	95-155)
taimyrensis	15	33.3	60.09	6.7	26.7	73.3	25.0	0.0	75.0	33 (9.7;	15 (6.2;	155 (41.0;	113 (29.4
		$(\mathfrak{Z})$	6)	(])	(4)	(11)	(1)		(3)	20-55)	7-22)	45-195)	60-185)
vegae	38	61.8	38.2	0.0	76.3	23.7	44.8	0.0	55.2	40 (10.0;	17 (8.0;	115 (18.0;	77 (14.9
		(21)	(13)		(29)	6	(13)		(16)	25-60)	5-30)	90-150)	45-95)
mongolicus	37	15.6	59.4	25.0	86.5	13.5	62.5	3.1	34.4	39 (12.3;	17 (8.4;	145 (28.1;	111 (15.2
		3	(61)	(8)	(32)	(2)	(20)	( <u>1</u> )	(11)	13-62)	4-39)	(791-197)	87-144)
armenicus	42	c.5	с. 85	c. 10	28.0	72.0	Ι	Ι	I	28 (5.5)	Ι	Ι	140(9.2)

support this hypothesis. While the southern limit of *beuglini* and the northern limit of *barabensis* are uncertain, between their known ranges lies an area approximately 1,200-1,500 km broad of impenetrable bogs and marshes; no ecological barrier therefore exists that might otherwise keep the two apart.

Our conclusion runs counter to the view expressed by Dement'ev (1951), that barabensis should be regarded as the southern representative of taimyrensis. Furthermore, our data do not accord with the opinion of Johansen (1960), who considered barabensis to be a subspecies of L. cachinnans. The latter view is apparently based on the well-known intermingling of barabensis and cachinnans characters among large-gull populations of northeastern Kazakhstan (locality 8 in fig. 1), to the southeast of our study area. Evidently, gene-flow occurs from gulls in these regions into southern populations of barabensis/heuglini inhabiting lakes in the southern part of Western Siberia.

Dement'ev's (1951) suggestion that barabensis is a constituent of 'L. argentatus taimyrensis' was based on a genuine similarity between these two taxa. At the same time, Dement'ev (1951, 1952) stressed the unstable nature of differences between beuglini and western taimyrensis populations, as well as the presence in the eastern part of the breeding range of taimyrensis of a considerable number of individuals with an admixture of vegae characters. Moreover, across the whole breeding range of taimyrensis, along with yellow-legged birds similar to *heuglini*, individuals with pink legs (vegae character) often occur (see e.g. colour photos in Filchagov et al. 1992). Analysis of the taimyrensis sample in the Museum of Zoology (Moscow State University) undoubtedly shows that this pinklegged form is nothing other than *heuglini* strongly influenced by *vegae* gene-flow from Eastern Siberia (see also Cramp & Simmons 1983: 815). Bearing in mind the existence of some similarity between vegae and cachinnans (see fig. 4), the deceptive superficial resemblance of two hybrid forms (beuglini × vegae = taimyrensis, and beuglini × cachinnans = barabensis) becomes readily explicable.

While the apparent phenotypic resem-

blance of *beuglini*, barabensis and taimyrensis results from their close genealogical and genetic interrelationship, the similarity between barabensis and armenicus, which has lately attracted the attention of ornithologists (e.g. Filchagov 1993; Garner & Quinn 1997), is, in our view, no more than superficial and incidental, as is, for example, that between armenicus and California Gull L. californicus (Doherty 1992). We suggest that such a resemblance is the result of convergence that manifests itself, in particular, in the parallel intensification of melanism in beuglini (whose characters are retained in barabensis) and in armenicus. What the causes of such a parallel evolution may be is an interesting question. Attempts to demonstrate ancient genealogical interrelationships between beuglini-taimyrensis-barabensis on the one hand and armenicus on the other (Filchagov 1993; Buzun 1993) lack adequate empirical foundation and should be viewed as pure speculation.

To summarise, we believe that *barabensis*, despite its apparent hybrid origin, should be treated as a quite well-defined taxon (contrary to Stepanyan 1975 and Yudin & Firsova 1988) that is most closely related to *heuglini*. It should be referred to as *L. heuglini barabensis*, unless the independent species status of *heuglini* (Stepanyan 1990) in relation to the Lesser Black-backed Gull is refuted by convincing argument derived from studies of comparative behaviour and genetics.

As a final point, it is worth noting that the eastern form mongolicus is very large, bigger even than vegae, and with a wingtip pattern broadly similar to that of vegae (cf. fig. 4f); it differs from the latter mainly in having much more black on the primaries (table 3). Comparative ethological studies (Panov & Monzikov in prep.) suggest that mongolicus is most closely related to *vegae*, both of which belong to the argentatus group within the complex, whereas *heuglini* appears to be part of the *fuscus* group. L. cachinnans is somewhat apart from both; although it is assumed to be closer to fuscus than to argentatus, obvious gene-flow occurs between L. argentatus and cachinnans in European Russia (see Panov & Monzikov 1999).

#### Panov & Monzikov: Status of the form barabensis

#### Acknowledgments

The study was financially supported by grants (NN 96-04-50575, 96-04-63023, 97-04-63032) from the Russian Fund for Theoretical Studies and by grant N 2.2.148.1 from the 'Biological Diversity' Fund. We thank the staff of the Institute of Biology (Novosibirsk), especially its Director, V.I. Evsikov, and also Yu. S. Ravkin, A. K. Yurlov and V. M. Chernyshov, for their help in the organisation of our field studies and assistance during a field trip to the Chany Lakes. We are also grateful to staff at the Zoological Museum of Moscow University, in particular P. S. Tomkovich, for constant help during our work with the Museum collections. We are indebted to M. G. Wilson for correcting our English and for suggesting a number of changes to the text.

References

- Buzun, V. 1993. [Armenian Gull *Larus armenicus* Buturlin, 1934: morpho-biometrical and behavioural distinctions with indication of taxonomical status.] *Russ. J. Orn.* 2: 471-490.
- Cramp, S., & Simmons, K. E. L. (eds.) 1983. *The Birds of the Western Palearctic*. vol. 3. Oxford.
- Danilov, N. N., Ryzhanovskiy, V. N., & Ryabitsev, V. K. 1984. [The Birds of the Yamal peninsula.] Moscow.
- Dement'ev, G. P. 1951. [Gulls (Order Lariformes). In: Dement'ev, G. P., & Gladkov, N.A. (eds.), *Birds of the Soviet Union*. vol. 3: 372-603.] Moscow.
- 1952. [The Birds of Turkmenistan.] Ashkhabad.
- Doherty, P. 1992. Armenian Gull and California Gull. *Birding World* 5: 117-118.
- Dolgushin, I. A. 1962. [Order Lariformes (gulls). In: Dolgushin, I.A. (ed.), *The Birds of Kazakhstan*. vol. 2: 246-327.] Alma-Ata.
- Dyunin, A. G. 1948. [Yellow-legged Gull in the southwest Caspian. In: *The Yellow-legged Gull and Flamingo at the Caspian Sea*, 3-31.] Moscow (Central Administrative Board of Reserves).
- Filchagov, A. V. 1993. The Armenian Gull in Armenia. Brit. Birds 86: 550-560.
- & Semashko, V. Yu. 1987. [Distribution and ecology of West Siberian Herring Gull (*Larus argentatus beuglini* Bree, 1876) on the Kola peninsula.] *Byull. Mosk. Obsbcb. Ispyt. Prir. Otd. Biol.* 92 (3): 37-42.
- —, Yésou, P., & Grabovsky, V. I. 1992. Le Goéland du Taïmyr *Larus beuglini taimyrensis*: répartition et biologie estivales. *L'Oiseau* 62: 128-148.

Garner, M., & Quinn, D. 1997. Identification of Yellowlegged Gulls in Britain. *Brit. Birds* 90: 25-62.

Ismagilov, M. I. 1955. [The gulls of Barsa-Kel'mes island (Aral Sea).] *Trudy Inst. Zool. Akad. Nauk Kaz. SSR* 4: 166-172.

- Johansen, H. 1960. Die Vogelfauna Westsibiriens. III. Teil (Non Passeres) 9. Forts.: Alcidae, Laridae. J. Orn. 101: 316-339.
- Kazakov, B. A., & Yazykova, I. M. 1982. [Order Charadriiformes. In: *Wildlife Resources* 2: 204-230.] Rostov-on-Don.
- Khodkov, G. I. 1981. [On the distribution and biology of Herring and Black-headed Gulls in the south of the Barabinsk lowlands. In: Yurlov, K. T. (ed.), *Ecological and biocoenotic links of migratory birds in Western Siberia*: 87-101.] Novosibirsk.
- Kostin, Yu.V. 1983. [The Birds of the Crimea.] Moscow.
- Lugovoy, A. E. 1958. [Larines of the lower parts of the Volga delta and of adjacent areas of the northern Caspian.] *Trudy Astrakhan'. gos. Zapoved.* (Astrakhan') 4: 269-294.
- Mierauskas, P., Greimas, E., & Buzun, V. 1991. A comparison of morphometrics, wing-tip pattern and vocalizations between yellow-legged Herring Gulls (*Larus argentatus*) from Eastern Baltic and *Larus cachinnans. Acta Orn. Lit.* 4: 3-26.
- Panov, E. N., Grabovsky, V. I., & Zykova, L. Yu. 1991. [Biology of nesting behavior, and taxonomy of *Larus cachinnans*. 3. Specificity of communicative signals.] *Zool. Zb*. (Moscow) 70 (3): 73-89.
- & Monzikov, D. G. 1999. [Intergradation between the Herring Gull *Larus argentatus* and the Southern Herring Gull *Larus cachinans* in European Russia.] *Zool. Zb.* (Moscow) 78 (3): 334-348.
- & Zykova, L. Yu. 1981. [Behaviour of *Larus argentatus cachinnans* at the late stages of reproductive cycle.] *Zool. Zb.* (Moscow) 60: 1658-1669.
- —, & Grabovsky, V. I. 1990. [The nesting biology, behavior and taxonomy of *Larus cachinnans*.
  I. Spatial structure and behavior aspects of the nesting biology.] *Zool. Zb.* (Moscow) 69 (12): 92-105.
- Samorodov, Yu. A. 1970. [Biology and ecology of Southern Herring Gull breeding in Northern Kazakhstan.] Vestnik MGU Ser. 16 (Biol.), 6: 22-28.
- Stepanyan, L. S. 1975. [Composition and distribution of the bird fauna of the USSR: Non-Passeriformes.] Moscow.
- 1990. [Conspectus of the ornithological fauna of the USSR.] Moscow.
- Yudin, K.A., & Firsova, L.V. 1988. [The Herring Gull. In: Il'ichev, V. D., & Zubakin, V.A. (eds.), *The Birds of the* USSR. Lariformes.] Moscow.

Prof. E. N. Panov and D. G. Monzikov, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninskiy Prospect 33, 117071 Moscow, Russia

![](_page_14_Picture_32.jpeg)